

Question	Answers	Extra information	Marks	AO	Spec reference
01.1	v = 2.54 $v^2 = 6.43$ (If rounded data used, may have 6.45)	Must be to same number of sig figs as table	2	2	3.4.1.3
01.2	Point plotted to within nearest half grid square Line of best fit drawn – with intercept		1 1	2	MS 3.2
01.3	Systematic Error in measuring height <i>s</i> – needs to be middle of card to middle of light gate/s; measured too short		1 1	3	3.1.2
01.4	Triangle drawn on graph or use of coordinates demonstrated Value for gradient = 17 ± 0.4	Not simply using values from table – must be from graph	1 1	2	MS 3.4
01.5	$v^2 = u^2 + 2as \text{ and } u = 0$ $v^2 = 2as$ Gradient = 2a or 2g $g = \frac{17}{2} = 8.5 \text{ (m s}^{-2})$	Range of values 8.7 to 8.3 from gradient	1 1 1	2	3.3.1.3
01.6	% difference = $\frac{\text{result} - \text{actual}}{\text{actual}} \times 100\%$ % difference = $\frac{1.31}{9.81} \times 100\% = 13\%$	ignore minus sign	1	2	3.1.2
02.1	<ul> <li>Max three from:</li> <li>draw round the semi-circular block and mark a point in the centre of the straight edge (measured with ruler)</li> <li>use a protractor to mark the normal (line perpendicular) from this point</li> <li>dark lines 5° apart from the normal to 35° (at least 6 suggested) – each entering the glass at 45°</li> <li>use fine ray of light or laser as source</li> <li>point along the drawn pathways mark a point to trace the path of the outgoing ray.</li> </ul>		max 3	2	Atj

۲

۲

۲



Question	Answers	Extra information	Marks	AO	Spec reference
02.2	Large triangle seen or coordinates used shown $\frac{0.7 - 0.06}{0.5 - 0} = 1.3$	triangle is at least half the graph Accept 1.28	1 1	2	3.3.2.3
02.3	Line of worst fit drawn (could be max or min) Gradient of max = $\frac{0.9 - 0.0}{0.64 - 0.02} = 1.5$ Absolute uncertainty = $1.5 - 1.3 = 0.2$ Gradient of min = $\frac{0.88 - 0.1}{0.66 - 0.00} = 1.2$ 1.3 - 1.2 = 0.1	Full 3 marks for steepest as that is line of worst fit Min line gains 2 marks	1 1 1	2	3.1.2
02.4	Experimental value = 1.3 ± 0.2, therefore value does lie within experimental uncertainty	Answer consistent with their results – so if they drew min line of best fit, value does not lie in experimental uncertainty	1	1	3.1.2
03.1	<ul> <li>Temperature:</li> <li>place whole apparatus in water bath making sure trapped air completely submerged</li> <li>stir regularly to make sure temperature even</li> <li>leave time at each temperature to ensure air at same temperature as water bath</li> <li>use thermometer/digital thermometer to record temperature.</li> <li>Volume: <ul> <li>attach apparatus to a ruler</li> <li>read length of trapped air – make sure eye level with meniscus when reading</li> <li>do not remove from water bath when reading measurement.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Must have at least one statement from volume and one from temperature for full marks	max 4	2	3.1.2
03.2	<ul> <li>Max two from:</li> <li>lowest temperature possible</li> <li>minimum internal energy (allow zero kinetic energy)</li> <li>−273 °C</li> <li>pressure of a gas at this temperature is zero.</li> </ul>		max 2	1	3.6.2.2

۲

© Oxford University Press <u>www.oxfordsecondary.com</u>

۲

۲



Question	Answers	Extra information	Marks	AO	Spec reference
03.3	Intercept = 3		1	2	M3.3 and 3.4
	Gradient = $\frac{3.9 - 3.0}{80}$ = 0.011		1		3.6.2.2
	Use of $y = mx + c$ when $y = 0$		1		
	0 = 0.011x + 3 x = -270 (272) (°C)		1		
03.4	This value is much lower than earlier value		1	3	3.1.2
	This will be because the air was warmer than the surrounding water as the water cooled too quickly/temperature lag		1		
	This would give a larger intercept and shallower gradient making result		1		
	too low				
04.1	Using a micrometer/Vernier callipers	Idea of several readings along	1	2	3.1.2
	Take the diameter along the length in several places and find mean	tength important for second mark	T		
04.2	$A = \pi r^2 = \pi \times (0.11 \times 10^{-3})^2 = 3.8 - 10^{-8} \mathrm{m}^2$		1	2	3.5.1.3
	Use of $\rho = \frac{RA}{L} = \frac{7.0 \Omega \times 3.8 \times 10^{-8}}{0.50} = 5.3 \times 10^{-7}$		1		
	Ωm		1		
04.3	% length = $\frac{0.001}{0.500} \times 100\% = 0.2\%$	1 mark for calculating any one percentage uncertainty correctly	1	2	3.1.2
	% diameter = $\frac{0.01}{0.22} \times 100\% = 4.5\%$				
	$\% R = \frac{0.4}{7.0} \times 100\% = 5.7\%$				
	% uncertainty = 0.2 +(2 $\times$ 4.5) + 5.7 = 15%		1		

۲

© Oxford University Press www.oxfordsecondary.com

۲

۲



Question	Answers	Extra information	Marks	AO	Spec reference
04.4	Any sensible suggestion for graph and how $\rho$ calculated: • plot $R$ against length, and gradient = $\frac{\rho}{A}$ • plot $RA$ against length, and gradient = $\rho$ Why more accurate: • allows you to identify anomalies • systematic errors in measuring length/or resistance of connecting wires will not affect final answer.	Must have explained graph and suggested why more accurate for full marks	max 3	3	3.5.1.3 3.1.2
05.1	<ul> <li>Depth:</li> <li>using a ruler with no zero error/marking levels on side of tray before experiment begins</li> <li>ensure ruler is read at eye level.</li> <li>Speed:</li> <li>using a stopwatch</li> <li>measure the time for wave to travel at least three lengths of tray/or some consideration of increased distance/increased time to reduce uncertainty caused by human reaction time.</li> </ul>	Allow any acceptable method for accurate measurements	1 1 1 1	3	PS1.2
05.2	Any sensible suggestion of graph, what to expect and how to confirm: $v$ against $\sqrt{h}$ should be straight-line graph through the origin gradient = $\sqrt{g}$ or $v^2$ against $h$ should be straight-line graph through the origin gradient = $g$		1 1 1	3	3.1.2 MS3.3
05.3	Students would have to confirm by using a different set of apparatus; or see if another student found the same relationship repeating the experiment		1	1	3.1.2

۲

© Oxford University Press www.oxfordsecondary.com

۲

۲



Question	Answers	Extra information	Marks	AO	Spec reference
06.1	Sensible guess at rooms dimensions, such as: $3 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m} \times 15 = 450 \text{ m}^3$ Use of mass = $\rho V$	Allow any sensible proposal here	1	3	3.1.3
	mass = $1.2 \times 450 = 540 \text{ kg}$		1		
06.2	$80 \times 28 = 2240 \text{ J s}^{-1}$		1	2	3.4.1.7
06.3	$E = 2240 \times 20 \times 60 = 2688000 \mathrm{J}$ $E = m c \Delta\theta$	Answers will vary based on mass calculations	1	2	3.6.2.1
	$\Delta \theta = \frac{E}{mc} = 5 ^{\circ} \text{C}$		1		
06.4	No As temperature in room rises, thermal energy will be transferred from the room Or rate of energy transfer dependent on temperature outside/temperature difference/insulation/of walls/windows doors	Sensible answer backed by logical reasoning	1 1	3	3.6.2.1
07.1	<ul> <li>Labelled diagram of apparatus – may be marks available here for method depending on detail</li> <li>method for small amplitudes – for example, protractor (less than 10°) or method of measuring start height accurately each time</li> <li>timing multiple oscillations to reduce uncertainty from reaction time using stopwatch</li> <li>fiducial marker at centre point for timing</li> <li>measuring length from top to middle of bob with metre ruler</li> <li>lengths chosen so <i>T</i> longer</li> <li>evidence that clamp stand is clamped to the desk for safety – or bag under bob in case it falls.</li> </ul>	1 mark for diagram, 3 further marks for detail on method and accuracy	max 4	2	Atb and d PS2.1 PS4.1
07.2	In first column 2 becomes 2.00 Add units s <sup>2</sup> for third column Values correct in third column: 8.12, 7.67, 7.40, 7.13, 6.60		1 1 1	2	PS2.2

۲

© Oxford University Press www.oxfordsecondary.com

۲

۲



Question	Answers	Extra information	Marks	AO	Spec reference
07.3	Points plotted correctly within ½ square Line of best fit drawn Gradient calculated = 4.0 ± 0.2		1 1 1	2	MS 3.2
07.4	$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{l}{g}} \text{ so } T^2 = 4\pi^2 \frac{l}{g}$ Gradient = $\frac{4\pi^2}{g}$ $g = 9.9 \text{ m s}^{-2}$		1	2	3.6.1.3
07.5	The data is precise because the points are close to the line of best fit The data is accurate because the value of g is within 1% of the actual value	For second mark there should be justification of accuracy	1 1	1	3.1.2
08.1	Use of $Q = It$ or $W = VQ$ W = Fd = mad (or other energy equation) $V = \frac{W}{Q} = \frac{W}{It}$ $V = \frac{mad}{it} = \frac{\text{kg m s}^{-2} \text{m}}{\text{A s}} = \text{kg m}^2 \text{A}^{-1} \text{s}^{-3}$	Must be able to see cancelling and evidence of equations (can be entirely in units)	1 1 1	3	3.1.1
08.2	Simple circuit with one cell, variable resistor and ammeter in series, and voltmeter in parallel with variable resistor or cell	Mark for correct symbols and mark for correct arrangement	1 1	1	3.5.1.6
08.3	E.m.f. is y-intercept – $1.51 \pm 0.05 \text{ V}$ Internal resistance is the gradient $0.41 \pm 0.2 \Omega$		1 1	2	3.5.1.6
08.4	The actual e.m.f. is lower – or stated value 1.41 – consistent with results Internal resistance will be the same because all points are shifted by same amount	For all 3 marks students must have explained answers	1 1 1	3	3.1.2

۲

۲

۲



#### **Skills box answers**

۲

Question	Answer
1(a)	$\frac{0.1}{6.7} \times 100\% = 1.49\%$
1(b)	$\frac{10}{450}$ × 100 % = 2.22%
1(c)	$\frac{1000}{366000} \times 100\% = 0.27\%$
2(a)	$3.43 \times 6.5\% = 3.43 \times 0.065 = 0.22$ = $3.43 W \pm 0.22 W$
2(b)	$10 \times 10\% = 10 \times 0.1 = 1$ = 10 kΩ ± 1 kΩ
2(c)	$12742 \times 0.3\% = 12742 \times 0.003 = 38.2$ = 12742 km ± 38.2 km
3(a)	volume of cube = $(25.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m})^3 = 1.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3$ Calculate the percentage uncertainty in each measurement first: $\left(\frac{0.2 \times 10^{-3}}{25.0 \times 10^{-3}}\right) \times 100\% = 0.8\%$ . Then add the percentage uncertainties for all three measurements, which is 2.4%. So absolute uncertainty = 2.4% of $1.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 = 4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3$ . Note: standard form integers must be between $\ge 1$ or < 10, so the power of the absolute uncertainty value has changed in this answer. Remember to always check powers when using standard form.
3(b)	$density = \frac{mass}{volume} = \frac{42.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg}}{1.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3} = 2700 \text{ kg m}^{-3}.$ To calculate uncertainty in density you need to add the percentage uncertainties in volume and mass. % uc mass = $\left(\frac{0.01}{42.19}\right) \times 100\% = 0.024\%$ Adding the % uc = $(0.024 + 2.4)\% = 2.4\%$ Absolute uncertainty = absolute value $\times$ % uc = 64.8 kg m <sup>-3</sup> The density of aluminium = 2700 kg m <sup>-3</sup> ± 65 kg m <sup>-3</sup> .

۲

© Oxford University Press www.oxfordsecondary.com